

# AN EXAMPLE OF NORMAL LOCAL RING WHICH IS ANALYTICALLY RAMIFIED

MASAYOSHI NAGATA

Previously the following question was offered by Zariski [6]:

*Is any normal Noetherian local ring analytically irreducible?*<sup>1)</sup>

In the present note, we will construct a counter-example against the question.

TERMINOLOGY. A ring (integrity domain) means always a commutative ring (integrity domain) with identity. A normal ring is an integrity domain which is integrally closed in its field of quotients. When  $\mathfrak{o}$  is an integrity domain, the integral closure of  $\mathfrak{o}$  in its field of quotients is called the derived normal ring of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

In our treatment, some basic notions and results on general commutative rings and Noetherian local rings are assumed to be well known (see, for example, [5] and one of [1] or [2]). In particular, some results on regular local rings and completions of local rings are used freely (without references). On the other hand, we will make use of an example constructed in [3, §1] without proof.

## § 1. The construction of an example

Let  $\mathbf{k}_0$  be a perfect field of characteristic 2 and let  $u_0, v_0, \dots, u_n, v_n, \dots$  (infinitely many) be algebraically independent elements over  $\mathbf{k}_0$ . Set  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0(u_0, v_0, \dots, u_n, v_n, \dots)$ . Further let  $x$  and  $y$  be indeterminates and set  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathbf{k}\{x, y\}$  (formal power series ring),  $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbf{k}^2\{x, y\}[\mathbf{k}]$  and  $c = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (u_i x^i + v_i y^i)$ . Then we set  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{o}[c]$ .

PROPOSITION.  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a normal Noetherian local ring and the completion of  $\mathfrak{s}$  contains non-zero nilpotent elements (that is,  $\mathfrak{s}$  is analytically ramified).

---

Received January 10, 1955.

<sup>1)</sup> It was conjectured that the answer is negative by [4] and the present paper answers the conjecture affirmatively.

## § 2. Some preliminary results

LEMMA 1. *The ring  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a regular local ring with a regular system of parameters  $x, y$ .  $\mathfrak{r}$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .*

For the proof, see [3, § 1].

LEMMA 2. *An element  $\sum a_{ij}x^i y^j$  ( $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}$ ) is in  $\mathfrak{o}$  if and only if  $[\mathbf{k}^2(a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, \dots) : \mathbf{k}^2]$  is finite.*

*Proof.*  $b = \sum a_{ij}x^i y^j$  is in  $\mathfrak{o}$  if and only if  $b$  is in  $\mathbf{k}^2\{x, y\}[u_0, v_0, \dots, u_n, v_n]$  for some  $n$ . Therefore we see our assertion easily.

LEMMA 3. *Set  $d_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{n+i}x^i$ ,  $e_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_{n+i}y^i$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{o}[d_0, e_0, \dots, d_n, e_n, \dots]$  is a normal ring.<sup>2)</sup>*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be any element of the derived normal ring of  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Since  $f$  is in the field of quotients of  $\mathfrak{t}$ ,  $f$  is expressed in the form  $(p + qd_n + re_n + se_n d_n)/t$  ( $p, q, r, s, t \in \mathfrak{o}$ ,  $t \neq 0$ ) (because  $\mathfrak{o}[d_0, e_0, \dots, d_n, e_n] = \mathfrak{o}[d_n, e_n]$  by the construction). Since  $p, q, r, s$  and  $t$  are in  $\mathfrak{o}$ , there exists an integer  $N$  which is not less than  $n$  such that the coefficients of them (as the power series in  $x$  and  $y$ ) are in  $\mathbf{k}^2(u_0, v_0, \dots, u_{N-1}, v_{N-1})$ . Then since  $d_n = u_n + u_{n+1}x + \dots + u_{N-1}x^{N-n-1} + x^{N-n}d_N$  and  $e_n = v_n + \dots + v_{N-1}y^{N-n-1} + y^{N-n}e_N$ ,  $f$  is in the derived normal ring of  $\mathfrak{o}^*[d_N, e_N]$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathbf{k}^2\{x, y\}[u_0, v_0, \dots, u_{N-1}, v_{N-1}]$  (because  $p, q, r, s$  and  $t$  are in  $\mathfrak{o}^*$  by our assumption and because the square of  $f$  is in  $\mathbf{k}^2\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}^*$ ). Since the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}^*$  is generated by  $x$  and  $y$ , as is easily seen,  $\mathfrak{o}^*$  is a (complete) regular local ring. Since the residue class field of  $\mathfrak{o}^*$  is represented by  $\mathbf{k}^2(u_0, v_0, \dots, u_{N-1}, v_{N-1})$  and since the leading forms of  $d_N$  and  $e_N$  are  $u_N$  and  $v_N$  (respectively), the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}^*[d_N, e_N]$  is generated by  $x$  and  $y$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{o}^*[d_N, e_N]$  is a regular local ring and is a normal ring. Therefore  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{o}^*[d_N, e_N]$  and therefore  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Thus we see that  $\mathfrak{t}$  is normal.

LEMMA 4.  *$x\mathfrak{s}$  and  $y\mathfrak{s}$  are prime ideals.*

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{s}/x\mathfrak{s}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{k}^2\{y\}[\mathbf{k}][e_0]$ , which is an integrity domain. Therefore  $x\mathfrak{s}$  is a prime ideal. That  $y\mathfrak{s}$  is prime follows similarly.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $\mathfrak{s}'$  be the derived normal ring of  $\mathfrak{s}$  and let  $f$  be an element*

<sup>2)</sup> By virtue of this result, we see easily that  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a regular local ring.

of  $\mathfrak{s}'$ . If  $xyf$  is in  $\mathfrak{s}$ , then  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{o}$  is Noetherian and since  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{o}[c]$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}$  is Noetherian. Therefore if  $f$  is not in  $\mathfrak{s}$ , then one of the following must hold (see [5, § 8]): 1)  $xy\mathfrak{s}$  has an imbedded prime divisor; 2) there exists at least one minimal prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $xy\mathfrak{s}$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not normal. Both are impossible because  $x\mathfrak{s}$  and  $y\mathfrak{s}$  are prime ideals by Lemma 4. Thus we see that  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

### § 3. Proof of the proposition

As was noted above,  $\mathfrak{s}$  is Noetherian. Since  $\mathfrak{s}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{o}[X]/g(X)\mathfrak{o}[X]$ , where  $g(X) = X^2 - c^2$ , the completion of  $\mathfrak{s}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{r}[X]/g(X)\mathfrak{r}[X]$  (because  $\mathfrak{r}$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{o}$ ). The residue class of  $X - c$  is not zero and is nilpotent. Therefore the completion of  $\mathfrak{s}$  contains non-zero nilpotent elements. Now we will show that  $\mathfrak{s}$  is normal. Let  $f$  be any element of  $\mathfrak{s}'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{s}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{t}$  (because  $c = d_0 + e_0$ ) and since  $\mathfrak{t}$  is normal by Lemma 3,  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Therefore  $f$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{p} + qd_n + re_n + sd_n e_n$  ( $\mathfrak{p}, q, r, s \in \mathfrak{o}$ ). Then  $x^n y^n f$  is in  $\mathfrak{o}[d_0, e_0]$ . In order to show that  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{s}$ , we have only to show that  $x^n y^n f$  is in  $\mathfrak{s}$  by Lemma 5. Therefore we may assume that  $n = 0$ . Since  $f$  is in the field of quotients of  $\mathfrak{s}$ ,  $f$  is of the form  $(t + uc)/v$  ( $t, u, v \in \mathfrak{o}$ ). Since  $c = d_0 + e_0$ , we see that  $(t/v) + (u/v)d_0 + (u/v)e_0 = \mathfrak{p} + qd_0 + re_0 + sd_0 e_0$ . Since 1,  $d_0, e_0, d_0 e_0$  are linearly independent over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , we have  $t/v = \mathfrak{p}, u/v = q (= r, s = 0)$ . Therefore  $f = \mathfrak{p} + qc$ , which is in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a normal ring.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] C. Chevalley, On the theory of local rings, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. **44** (1943), pp. 690-708.
- [2] I. S. Cohen, On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. **59** (1946), pp. 54-106.
- [3] M. Nagata, Note on integral closures of Noetherian domains, *Memoirs Kyoto, Ser. A.*, vol. **28** (1953), pp. 121-124.
- [4] M. Nagata, On the theory of Henselian rings, II, *Nagoya Math. Journ.*, vol. **7** (1954), pp. 1-19.
- [5] M. Nagata, Basic theorems on general commutative rings, to appear in *Memoirs Kyoto, Ser. A.*
- [6] O. Zariski, Analytical irreducibility of normal varieties, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. **49** (1948), pp. 352-361.

*Mathematical Institute,  
Kyoto University*

